UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,731	09/14/2000	Daniel M. Jensen		2113
Kevin Laurence	7590 04/11/201	EXAMINER		
Stoel Rives LL		DAVIS, ROBERT B		
900 SW Fifth Ave. Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Portland, OR 97204-1268			1722	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/661,731	JENSEN, DANIEL M.		
Examiner initiated interview daminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert B. Davis	1743		
All Participants:	Status of Application: Allo	pplication: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Robert B. Davis.	(3)			
(2) <u>Daniel Higgs</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>7 April 2011</u>	Time: <u>11:00</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None.				
Claims discussed: 72				
Prior art documents discussed:  None.				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/Robert B. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1743	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Kevin Laurence to discuss claim 72. Mr. Higgs called the examiner back to discuss the case. It was discussed that 2 preliminary amendments were filed in the case on 9/14/00. The amendment with claims 47-72 was intended for another application and mistakingly submitted in this application. The reference to claim 72 in the amendment filed 7/17/02 was a typographical error as claim 72 never existed in this application.